

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 169482
ORIGIN IO-14

INFO OCT-01 AF-10 ARA-10 EA-07 EUR-12 NEA-10 ISO-00
SIG-01 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-07 L-03
NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06
AID-05 COME-00 EB-07 FRB-03 TRSE-00 XMB-02
OPIC-03 LAB-04 EPG-02 SIL-01 OMB-01 /139 R

DRAFTED BY IO/UNP:DLSCHLE:EK
APPROVED BY IO:JABAKER
IO/ML:PWKRIEBEL
EA/RA:LMCNUTT
AF/I:LSHURTLEFF
ARA/PPC:POAKLEY
IO/UNP:GBHELMAN
NEA/RA:SPERRY
EUR/RA:DGOTT
DOD/ISA:EMELANSON

-----009394 210338Z /13

P 202057Z JUL 77
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY ABIDJAN
AMEMBASSY CANBERRA PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY FREETOWN PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY JAKARTA PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY KABUL PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY OSLO PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY SUVA PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY TEHRAN PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY TUNIS PRIORITY
INFO AMEMBASSY ADDIS ABABA
USINT BAGHDAD
AMEMBASSY BAMAKO
AMEMBASSY BELGRADE
AMEMBASSY DAMASCUS
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 169482

AMEMBASSY DAR ES SALAAM
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
USLO PEKING
AMEMBASSY PRAGUE
AMEMBASSY PORT OF SPAIN
AMEMBASSY SOFIA
AMEMBASSY PORT MORESBY

AMEMBASSY WELLINGTON

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 169482

C O R R E C T E D COPY(PARA 4, LN 11, & 7TH LN FM END OF MSG)

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, UNGA, GQ

SUBJECT: COMMITTEE OF 24 (C-24) CONSIDERATION OF MILITARY
BASES ON GUAM

REF: USUN 2251 (NOTAL)

1. THE QUESTION OF GUAM IS NOW BEFORE THE C-24. GUAM IS
A US TERRITORY AND THE PEOPLE OF GUAM ARE US CITIZENS,
BUT WE CONSIDER THE TERRITORY TO BE NON-SELF-GOVERNING
WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE UN CHARTER AND WE COOPERATE
WITH THE C-24 IN ITS CONSIDERATION OF CONDITIONS IN THE
TERRITORY. THE STATUS OF GUAM IN THIS REGARD IS SIMILAR
TO THAT OF AMERICAN SAMOA AND THE US VIRGIN ISLANDS,
WHICH ARE ALSO NON-SELF-GOVERNING WITHIN THE MEANING OF
THE CHARTER. THIS IS TO BE DISTINGUISHED FROM PUERTO
RICO WHICH WAS REMOVED FROM THE LIST OF NON-SELF-
GOVERNING TERRITORIES FOLLOWING APPROVAL OF A LOCALLY
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 169482

DRAFTED CONSTITUTION IN 1952 AND IS NOT CONSIDERED BY
THE US TO BE WITHIN THE COMPETENCE OF THE C-24.

2. REFTEL REPORTS LANGUAGE ON MILITARY BASES CONTAINED
IN TWO SEPARATE DOCUMENTS UNDER CONSIDERATION BY C-24.
REPORT ON GUAM SUBMITTED TO C-24 BY SUBCOMMITTEE ON SMALL

TERRITORIES IS RELATIVELY MODERATE AND IS AN IMPROVEMENT
OVER LANGUAGE ADOPTED BY C-24 LAST YEAR. ON THE OTHER
HAND, DRAFT CONSENSUS LANGUAGE ON "MILITARY ACTIVITIES
AND ARRANGEMENTS BY COLONIAL POWERS IN TERRITORIES
UNDER THEIR ADMINISTRATION WHICH MIGHT BE IMPEDING THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DECLARATION ON THE GRANTING
OF INDEPENDENCE TO COLONIAL COUNTRIES AND PEOPLES"
IS SUBSTANTIALLY STRONGER AND MORE OBJECTIONABLE TO
USG THAN LANGUAGE ADOPTED BY C-24 IN 1976. BOTH THE
REPORT OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON SMALL TERRITORIES AND
THE DRAFT CONSENSUS ON MILITARY ACTIVITIES ARE EXPECTED
TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE C-24 IN THE FIRST WEEK OF
AUGUST.

3. FULL COMMITTEE IS EXPECTED TO ADOPT WITHOUT CON-

TROVERSY THE REPORT OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON SMALL TERRITORIES, CONTAINING THE FOLLOWING LANGUAGE: "THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE REITERATES ITS STRONG VIEW THAT THE PRESENCE OF UNITED STATES BASES IN THE TERRITORY SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO INHIBIT THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY FROM EXERCISING FREELY THEIR RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RESOLUTION 1514(XV), AS WELL AS THE PRINCIPLES AND OBJECTIVES OF THE CHARTER." IN 1976 THE COMMITTEE EXPRESSED "ITS CONCERN OVER THE CONTINUING PRESENCE OF THE MILITARY BASES OF THE ADMINISTERING POWER ON GUAM AND THE HOPE THAT THAT PRESENCE WILL NOT BE AN INHIBITING FACTOR IN PERMITTING THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY TO EXERCISE THEIR RIGHT OF SELF-DETERMINATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RESOLUTION 1514(XV) AS
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 169482

WELL AS THE PRINCIPLES AND OBJECTIVES OF THE CHARTER."

4. THE DRAFT CONSENSUS ON MILITARY ACTIVITIES EXPRESSES THE COMMITTEE'S "DEEP CONCERN" AT THE MAINTENANCE BY COLONIAL POWERS (I.E. THE US) OF MILITARY BASES IN THE COLONIAL TERRITORIES UNDER THEIR ADMINISTRATION (I.E. GUAM), "WHERE SUCH INSTALLATIONS SERVE THE GLOBAL STRATEGIC INTERESTS OF THE POWERS CONCERNED", AND "STRONGLY DEPRECATES" THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SUCH BASES AS BEING INCONSISTENT WITH THE PURPOSES AND PRINCIPLES OF THE UN CHARTER AND RESOLUTION 1514(XV); CONDEMNS "ALL MILITARY ACTIVITIES AND ARRANGEMENTS BY COLONIAL POWERS IN TERRITORIES UNDER THEIR ADMINISTRATION WHICH ARE DETRIMENTAL TO THE INTERESTS AND RIGHTS OF THE COLONIAL PEOPLES CONCERNED, ESPECIALLY THE RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION AND INDEPENDENCE" AND DRAWS ATTENTION TO GA RESOLUTION 31/143 OF 17 DECEMBER 1976 BY WHICH

THE GA CALLED FOR THE IMMEDIATE AND UNCONDITIONAL WITHDRAWAL OF MILITARY BASES FROM "COLONIAL TERRITORIES"; DEPLORES THE CONTINUED ALIENATION OF LAND FOR MILITARY INSTALLATIONS; AND ASSERTS THAT "LARGE SCALE UTILIZATION OF LOCAL ECONOMIC AND MANPOWER RESOURCES" FOR SERVICING SUCH INSTALLATIONS IS CONTRARY TO THE INTERESTS OF THE LOCAL PEOPLE BECAUSE IT DIVERTS RESOURCES FROM ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. THE 1976 C-24 REPORT "NOTES WITH REGRET" THE FAILURE OF THE "COLONIAL POWERS" TO TERMINATE THEIR MILITARY BASES IN ACCORDANCE WITH RELEVANT GA RESOLUTIONS; ASSERTS THAT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS "MAY CONSTITUTE AN IMPEDIMENT" TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF RESOLUTION 1514(XV) IN TERRITORIES WHERE STRATEGIC BASES ARE MAINTAINED TO SERVE THE GLOBAL INTERESTS OF THE COLONIAL POWER";
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 169482

DEPLORES THE ALIENATION OF LAND FOR MILITARY BASES;
AND ASSERTS THAT LARGE SCALE UTILIZATION OF ECONOMIC
AND MANPOWER RESOURCES FROM THE SERVICING OF MILITARY
INSTALLATIONS IS CONTRARY TO THE INTERESTS OF THE
LOCAL PEOPLE BECAUSE IT DIVERTS RESOURCES FROM
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

5. FOR ABIDJAN, CANBERRA, OSLO, SUVA AND TEHRAN: YOU
SHOULD INFORM FOREIGN MINISTRIES THAT WE UNDERSTAND
THAT THEIR RESPECTIVE MISSIONS IN NEW YORK WERE HELPFUL
IN OBTAINING RELATIVELY MODERATE LANGUAGE FROM THE
C-24 SUBCOMMITTEE ON SMALL TERRITORIES REGARDING THE
PRESENCE OF US MILITARY BASES ON GUAM, AND THAT WE
WELCOME THE POSITIVE ATTITUDE DISPLAYED.

6. FOR ALL ACTION ADDRESSEES: EMBASSIES SHOULD DRAW
ON FOLLOWING TALKING POINTS IN ASKING FOREIGN
MINISTRIES SUPPORT FOR USG POSITION REGARDING QUESTION
OF US MILITARY BASES ON GUAM:

-- WE UNDERSTAND THAT DURING THE FIRST WEEK OF AUGUST
THE COMMITTEE OF 24 WILL TAKE UP THE QUESTIONS OF GUAM
AND OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS IN NON-SELF-GOVERNING
TERRITORIES. WE NOTE THAT THE LANGUAGE OF THE DRAFT

CONSENSUS ON MILITARY BASES (UN DOCUMENT A/AC.109/L.1180)
IS STRONGER THAN THAT OF 1976 AND WE HOPE THE COMMITTEE
WILL AVOID LANGUAGE DEPLORING OR DEPRECATING THE
PRESENCE IN GUAM OF US MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

-- THE BASES ON GUAM ARE PART OF THE NETWORK OF BASES
MAINTAINED BY THE UNITED STATES FOR ITS DEFENSE AND TO
ENSURE THE SECURITY OF THE WESTERN PACIFIC. THIS IS
CONSISTENT WITH THE UN CHARTER, WHICH SPECIFICALLY
RESERVES THE RIGHT OF SELF-DEFENSE.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 06 STATE 169482

-- NOTHING IN THE CHARTER OR RESOLUTION 1514(XV) LIMITS
OR RESTRICTS THE AUTHORITY OF ADMINISTERING POWERS TO
MAINTAIN BASES IN NON-SELF-GOVERNING TERRITORIES.

-- THE PRESENCE OF BASES ON GUAM IN NO WAY INHIBITS THE
PEOPLE OF GUAM IN THE EXERCISE OF THEIR RIGHT TO SELF-
DETERMINATION.

-- THE PEOPLE OF GUAM ARE US CITIZENS AND ENJOY THE FREEDOMS ACCORDED ALL US CITIZENS, INCLUDING FREE SPEECH AND FREE PRESS. THEY ELECT THEIR OWN LEGISLATURE AND GOVERNOR ON THE BASIS OF UNIVERSAL ADULT SUFFRAGE. INDICATIONS FROM THE PEOPLE AND THEIR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES ARE THAT THEIR CONCERN REGARDING THE BASES IS THAT THEY MIGHT BE REDUCED IN SIZE OR ELIMINATED. THEY OPPOSE SUCH REDUCTION.

-- THE GUAM LEGISLATURE, WHICH IS FREELY ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE OF GUAM, ADOPTED A RESOLUTION ON DECEMBER 3, 1976 WHICH DEPLORED THE MISCONCEPTIONS OF THE COMMITTEE OF 24 REGARDING THE STATUS OF GUAM AND EXPRESSED SUPPORT FOR THE CONTINUED PRESENCE OF US MILITARY PERSONNEL AND OPERATIONS ON GUAM.

-- A POLITICAL STATUS REFERENDUM WAS HELD ON GUAM IN SEPTEMBER 1976, IN WHICH 5.6 PERCENT VOTED FOR INDEPENDENCE FROM THE UNITED STATES WHILE OVER 90 PERCENT VOTED TO REMAIN A PART OF THE UNITED STATES. A GUAM CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CONVENED ON JULY 1, 1977 AND WE EXPECT THAT A LOCALLY DRAFTED AND APPROVED CONSTITUTION WILL COME INTO EFFECT IN MID-1978.

-- IN VIEW OF THE FACTS OUTLINED ABOVE AND THE EFFORTS
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 07 STATE 169482

OF THE UNITED STATES OVER THE PAST YEAR TO INCREASE ITS COOPERATION WITH THE COMMITTEE OF 24, WE WOULD FIND IT PARTICULARLY DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND COMMITTEE ACTION APPROVING LANGUAGE STRONGER THAN THAT OUTLINED IN LAST YEAR'S REPORT CONCERNING THE BASES ON GUAM. WE HOPE YOU WILL INSTRUCT YOUR MISSION TO THE UNITED NATIONS TO ENSURE THAT NO SUCH ACTION IS TAKEN.

7. FOR ABIDJAN, BAMAKO, SANTIAGO AND TUNIS: TRANSLATION OF TALKING POINTS WILL FOLLOW. VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 169482

ORIGIN IO-04

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /005 R

66011

DRAFTED BY:IO/UNP:DLSCHIELE

APPROVED BY:IO:ESWOPE

-----091700 270653Z /13

R 270505Z JUL 77

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 169482

FOLLOWING SECSTATE 169482 SENT ACTION ABIDJAN CANBERRA FREETOWN
JAKARTA KABUL NEW DELHI OSLO SANTIAGO SUVA TEHRAN TUNIS INFO
ADDIS ABABA BAGHDAD BAMAKO BELGRADE DAMASCUS DAR ES SALAAM
MOSCOW PEKING PRAGUE PORT OF SPAIN SOFIA PORT MORESBY WELLINGTON
JULY 20, 1977 REPEATED TO YOU QUOTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 169482

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, UNGA, GQ

SUBJECT: COMMITTEE OF 24 (C-24) CONSIDERATION OF MILITARY
BASES ON GUAM

REF: USUN 2251 (NOTAL)

1. THE QUESTION OF GUAM IS NOW BEFORE THE C-24. GUAM IS
A US TERRITORY AND THE PEOPLE OF GUAM ARE US CITIZENS,
BUT WE CONSIDER THE TERRITORY TO BE NON-SELF-GOVERNING
WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE UN CHARTER AND WE COOPERATE
WITH THE C-24 IN ITS CONSIDERATION OF CONDITIONS IN THE
TERRITORY. THE STATUS OF GUAM IN THIS REGARD IS SIMILAR
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 169482

TO THAT OF AMERICAN SAMOA AND THE US VIRGIN ISLANDS,
WHICH ARE ALSO NON-SELF-GOVERNING WITHIN THE MEANING OF
THE CHARTER. THIS IS TO BE DISTINGUISHED FROM PUERTO
RICO WHICH WAS REMOVED FROM THE LIST OF NON-SELF-
GOVERNING TERRITORIES FOLLOWING APPROVAL OF A LOCALLY
DRAFTED CONSTITUTION IN 1952 AND IS NOT CONSIDERED BY
THE US TO BE WITHIN THE COMPETENCE OF THE C-24.

2. REFTTEL REPORTS LANGUAGE ON MILITARY BASES CONTAINED
IN TWO SEPARATE DOCUMENTS UNDER CONSIDERATION BY C-24.
REPORT ON GUAM SUBMITTED TO C-24 BY SUBCOMMITTEE ON SMALL

TERRITORIES IS RELATIVELY MODERATE AND IS AN IMPROVEMENT OVER LANGUAGE ADOPTED BY C-24 LAST YEAR. ON THE OTHER HAND, DRAFT CONSENSUS LANGUAGE ON "MILITARY ACTIVITIES AND ARRANGEMENTS BY COLONIAL POWERS IN TERRITORIES UNDER THEIR ADMINISTRATION WHICH MIGHT BE IMPEDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DECLARATION ON THE GRANTING OF INDEPENDENCE TO COLONIAL COUNTRIES AND PEOPLES" IS SUBSTANTIALLY STRONGER AND MORE OBJECTIONABLE TO USG THAN LANGUAGE ADOPTED BY C-24 IN 1976. BOTH THE REPORT OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON SMALL TERRITORIES AND THE DRAFT CONSENSUS ON MILITARY ACTIVITIES ARE EXPECTED TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE C-24 IN THE FIRST WEEK OF AUGUST.

3. FULL COMMITTEE IS EXPECTED TO ADOPT WITHOUT CONTROVERSY THE REPORT OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON SMALL TERRITORIES, CONTAINING THE FOLLOWING LANGUAGE: "THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE REITERATES ITS STRONG VIEW THAT THE PRESENCE OF UNITED STATES BASES IN THE TERRITORY SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO INHIBIT THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY FROM EXERCISING FREELY THEIR RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 169482

IN ACCORDANCE WITH RESOLUTION 1514(XV), AS WELL AS THE PRINCIPLES AND OBJECTIVES OF THE CHARTER." IN 1976 THE COMMITTEE EXPRESSED "ITS CONCERN OVER THE CONTINUING PRESENCE OF THE MILITARY BASES OF THE ADMINISTERING POWER ON GUAM AND THE HOPE THAT THAT PRESENCE WILL NOT BE AN INHIBITING FACTOR IN PERMITTING THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY TO EXERCISE THEIR RIGHT OF SELF-DETERMINATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH RESOLUTION 1514(XV) AS WELL AS THE PRINCIPLES AND OBJECTIVES OF THE CHARTER."

4. THE DRAFT CONSENSUS ON MILITARY ACTIVITIES EXPRESSES THE COMMITTEE'S "DEEP CONCERN" AT THE MAINTENANCE BY COLONIAL POWERS (I.E. THE US) OF MILITARY BASES IN THE COLONIAL TERRITORIES UNDER THEIR ADMINISTRATION (I.E. GUAM), "WHERE SUCH INSTALLATIONS SERVE THE GLOBAL STRATEGIC INTERESTS OF THE POWERS CONCERNED", AND "STRONGLY DEPRECATES" THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SUCH BASES AS BEING INCONSISTENT WITH THE PURPOSES AND PRINCIPLES OF THE UN CHARTER AND RESOLUTION 1514(XV); CONDEMNS "ALL MILITARY ACTIVITIES AND ARRANGEMENTS BY COLONIAL POWERS IN TERRITORIES UNDER THEIR ADMINISTRATION WHICH ARE DETRIMENTAL TO THE INTERESTS AND RIGHTS OF THE COLONIAL PEOPLES CONCERNED, ESPECIALLY THE RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION AND INDEPENDENCE" AND DRAWS ATTENTION TO GA RESOLUTION 31/143 OF 17 DECEMBER 1976 BY WHICH

THE GA CALLED FOR THE IMMEDIATE AND UNCONDITIONAL

WITHDRAWAL OF MILITARY BASES FROM "COLONIAL TERRITORIES"; DEPLORES THE CONTINUED ALIENATION OF LAND FOR MILITARY INSTALLATIONS; AND ASSERTS THAT "LARGE SCALE UTILIZATION OF LOCAL ECONOMIC AND MANPOWER RESOURCES" FOR SERVICING SUCH INSTALLATIONS IS CONTRARY TO THE INTERESTS OF THE LOCAL PEOPLE BECAUSE IT DIVERTS RESOURCES FROM ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. THE 1976 C-24 REPORT "NOTES WITH REGRET" THE FAILURE OF THE "COLONIAL POWERS" TO TERMINATE THEIR MILITARY BASES IN

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 169482

ACCORDANCE WITH RELEVANT GA RESOLUTIONS; ASSERTS THAT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS "MAY CONSTITUTE AN IMPEDIMENT" TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF RESOLUTION 1514(XV) IN TERRITORIES WHERE STRATEGIC BASES ARE MAINTAINED TO SERVE THE GLOBAL INTERESTS OF THE COLONIAL POWER"; DEPLORES THE ALIENATION OF LAND FOR MILITARY BASES; AND ASSERTS THAT LARGE SCALE UTILIZATION OF ECONOMIC AND MANPOWER RESOURCES FROM THE SERVICING OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS IS CONTRARY TO THE INTERESTS OF THE LOCAL PEOPLE BECAUSE IT DIVERTS RESOURCES FROM ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

5. FOR ABIDJAN, CANBERRA, OSLO, SUVA AND TEHRAN: YOU SHOULD INFORM FOREIGN MINISTRIES THAT WE UNDERSTAND THAT THEIR RESPECTIVE MISSIONS IN NEW YORK WERE HELPFUL IN OBTAINING RELATIVELY MODERATE LANGUAGE FROM THE C-24 SUBCOMMITTEE ON SMALL TERRITORIES REGARDING THE PRESENCE OF US MILITARY BASES ON GUAM, AND THAT WE WELCOME THE POSITIVE ATTITUDE DISPLAYED.

6. FOR ALL ACTION ADDRESSEES: EMBASSIES SHOULD DRAW ON FOLLOWING TALKING POINTS IN ASKING FOREIGN MINISTRIES SUPPORT FOR USG POSITION REGARDING QUESTION OF US MILITARY BASES ON GUAM:

-- WE UNDERSTAND THAT DURING THE FIRST WEEK OF AUGUST THE COMMITTEE OF 24 WILL TAKE UP THE QUESTIONS OF GUAM AND OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS IN NON-SELF-GOVERNING TERRITORIES. WE NOTE THAT THE LANGUAGE OF THE DRAFT

CONSENSUS ON MILITARY BASES (UN DOCUMENT A/AC.109/L.1180) IS STRONGER THAN THAT OF 1976 AND WE HOPE THE COMMITTEE WILL AVOID LANGUAGE DEPLORING OR DEPRECATING THE

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 169482

PRESENCE IN GUAM OF US MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

-- THE BASES ON GUAM ARE PART OF THE NETWORK OF BASES MAINTAINED BY THE UNITED STATES FOR ITS DEFENSE AND TO ENSURE THE SECURITY OF THE WESTERN PACIFIC. THIS IS CONSISTENT WITH THE UN CHARTER, WHICH SPECIFICALLY RESERVES THE RIGHT OF SELF-DEFENSE.

-- NOTHING IN THE CHARTER OR RESOLUTION 1514(XV) LIMITS OR RESTRICTS THE AUTHORITY OF ADMINISTERING POWERS TO MAINTAIN BASES IN NON-SELF-GOVERNING TERRITORIES.

-- THE PRESENCE OF BASES ON GUAM IN NO WAY INHIBITS THE PEOPLE OF GUAM IN THE EXERCISE OF THEIR RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION.

-- THE PEOPLE OF GUAM ARE US CITIZENS AND ENJOY THE FREEDOMS ACCORDED ALL US CITIZENS, INCLUDING FREE SPEECH AND FREE PRESS. THEY ELECT THEIR OWN LEGISLATURE AND GOVERNOR ON THE BASIS OF UNIVERSAL ADULT SUFFRAGE. INDICATIONS FROM THE PEOPLE AND THEIR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES ARE THAT THEIR CONCERN REGARDING THE BASES IS THAT THEY MIGHT BE REDUCED IN SIZE OR ELIMINATED. THEY OPPOSE SUCH REDUCTION.

-- THE GUAM LEGISLATURE, WHICH IS FREELY ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE OF GUAM, ADOPTED A RESOLUTION ON DECEMBER 3, 1976 WHICH DEPLORED THE MISCONCEPTIONS OF THE COMMITTEE OF 24 REGARDING THE STATUS OF GUAM AND EXPRESSED SUPPORT FOR THE CONTINUED PRESENCE OF US MILITARY PERSONNEL AND OPERATIONS ON GUAM.

-- A POLITICAL STATUS REFERENDUM WAS HELD ON GUAM IN SEPTEMBER 1976, IN WHICH 5.6 PERCENT VOTED FOR INDEPENDENCE FROM THE UNITED STATES WHILE OVER 90 PERCENT VOTED TO REMAIN A PART OF THE UNITED STATES. A GUAM CONSTITUTIONAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 06 STATE 169482

TUTIONAL CONVENTION CONVENED ON JULY 1, 1977 AND WE EXPECT THAT A LOCALLY DRAFTED AND APPROVED CONSTITUTION WILL COME INTO EFFECT IN MID-1978.

-- IN VIEW OF THE FACTS OUTLINED ABOVE AND THE EFFORTS OF THE UNITED STATES OVER THE PAST YEAR TO INCREASE ITS COOPERATION WITH THE COMMITTEE OF 24, WE WOULD FIND IT PARTICULARLY DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND COMMITTEE ACTION APPROVING LANGUAGE STRONGER THAN THAT OUTLINED IN LAST YEAR'S REPORT CONCERNING THE BASES ON GUAM. WE HOPE YOU WILL INSTRUCT YOUR MISSION TO THE UNITED NATIONS TO ENSURE THAT NO SUCH ACTION IS TAKEN.

7. FOR ABIDJAN, BAMAKO, SANTIAGO AND TUNIS: TRANSLATION
OF TALKING POINTS WILL FOLLOW. VANCE UNQUOTE VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: COMMITTEES, MILITARY BASES, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, DEPENDENCIES, BRIEFING MATERIALS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 20-Jul-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE169482
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: DLSCHLE:EK
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770272-1080, D770258-0551
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770737/aaaabfnw.tel
Line Count: 496
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 44016e66-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN IO
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 10
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 USUN NEW YORK 2251
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 17-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 1800577
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: COMMITTEE OF 24 (C-24) CONSIDERATION OF MILITARY BASES ON GUAM
TAGS: PFOR, PORC, GQ, US, UNGA
To: ABIDJAN CANBERRA MULTIPLE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/44016e66-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009